IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (IEEE S&P) 2020 https://erebus-attack.comp.nus.edu.sg/

### A Stealthier Partitioning Attack against Bitcoin Peer-to-Peer Network

#### <u>Muoi Tran</u>, Inho Choi, Gi Jun Moon, Anh V. Vu, Min Suk Kang May 2020





blockchain









### Bitcoin peer-to-peer network can be *partitioned*



**Bitcoin network** 

### Bitcoin peer-to-peer network can be *partitioned*



**Partitioning attacks:** isolate victim node(s) from the rest of network













Partitioning *enables/improves* several other attacks:

- ✓ 51% attack
- $\checkmark$  selfish mining
- ✓ censoring transactions
- ✓ take down cryptocurrencies
  ✓ ...





• Bitcoin hijacking (Apostolaki et al., IEEE S&P'17)



- Bitcoin hijacking (Apostolaki et al., IEEE S&P'17)
  - ✓ Attacker AS uses BGP hijacking to hijack victim connections



- Bitcoin hijacking (Apostolaki et al., IEEE S&P'17)
  - ✓ Attacker AS uses BGP hijacking to hijack victim connections



#### ASes (e.g., large ISPs) <u>can</u> do it.



#### ASes (e.g., large ISPs) <u>can</u> do it.

✓ Question: " Do they really launch this attack in practice?"



#### Only one attack instance observed in practice. Why?



#### Only <u>one</u> attack instance observed in practice. Why?

• Route manipulation is *immediately visible* to the public



#### Only <u>one</u> attack instance observed in practice. Why?

- Route manipulation is *immediately visible* to the public
- Attacker's *identity* (AS number) is *revealed*

### Can partitioning attacks be stealthier?

### Can partitioning attacks be stealthier?





**Idea**: **Indirectly** force the victim node connects to **"shadow"** IPs:



<u>Idea</u>: Indirectly force the victim node connects to "shadow" IPs:

✓ **Shadow IP** has the victim-to-itself route includes adversary AS



<u>Idea</u>: Indirectly force the victim node connects to "shadow" IPs:

- Shadow IP has the victim-to-itself route includes adversary AS
- Attacker AS is the man-in-the-middle of all peer connections!



<u>Idea</u>: Indirectly force the victim node connects to "shadow" IPs:

- ✓ Shadow IP has the victim-to-itself route includes adversary AS
- Attacker AS is the man-in-the-middle of all peer connections!



<u>Idea</u>: Indirectly force the victim node connects to "shadow" IPs:

- ✓ Shadow IP has the victim-to-itself route includes adversary AS
- Attacker AS is the *man-in-the-middle* of all peer connections!

### <u>Challenge 1</u>: How many shadow IPs are available?

### <u>Challenge 1</u>: How many shadow IPs are available?



### <u>Challenge 1</u>: How many shadow IPs are available?










If attacker AS is big enough (e.g., top-100), it can easily find



If attacker AS is big enough (e.g., top-100), it can *easily* find **hundreds** of shadow ASes



If attacker AS is big enough (e.g., top-100), it can *easily* find **hundreds** of shadow ASes => millions of shadow IPs













Occupying 117 incoming connections

 ✓Connect to the victim *on behalf* of the shadow IPs



Occupying 117 incoming connections (easier)
 ✓ Connect to the victim on behalf of the shadow IPs



- Occupying 117 incoming connections (easier)
   ✓ Connect to the victim on behalf of the shadow IPs
- Occupying 8 outgoing connections\*
   ✓ Influence the victim to make connections to shadow IPs

(\*) 10 outgoing connections since Bitcoin version 0.19.1

9



- Occupying 117 incoming connections (easier)
   ✓ Connect to the victim on behalf of the shadow IPs
- Occupying 8 outgoing connections\* (much harder!)
   ✓ Influence the victim to make connections to shadow IPs

(\*) 10 outgoing connections since Bitcoin version 0.19.1

9



- Occupying 117 incoming connections (easier)
   ✓ Connect to the victim on behalf of the shadow IPs
- Occupying 8 outgoing connections<sup>\*</sup> (much harder!)
   ✓ Influence the victim to make connections to shadow IPs

(\*) 10 outgoing connections since Bitcoin version 0.19.1

9









**Tables for IP addresses** 





(IPs learned from peers)

**Tables for IP addresses** 







*Our goal*: Dominate *reachable* IPs in two tables with shadow IPs





*Our goal*: Dominate *reachable* IPs in two tables with shadow IPs

#### **Challenges**:

- Several bugs fixed since Bitcoin v0.10.1 (2015)
- Attack is now *nearly impossible* with botnets





*Our goal*: Dominate *reachable* IPs in two tables with shadow IPs

#### **Challenges**:

- Several bugs fixed since Bitcoin v0.10.1 (2015)
- Attack is now *nearly impossible* with botnets



























tried





tried



Reachable IPs in the new table



tried











Reachable IPs in the new table







Reachable IPs in the new table


# Attack strategy: send *low-rate* traffic and *patiently* wait





*Reachable* IPs in the **new** table







Number of All eight outgoing outgoing connections connections are 8 occupied after 40 days! Number of connections 6 made to shadow IPs 4 2  $\mathbf{0}$ days after 10 20 30 40 50 0 attack begins



• No route manipulation (e.g., BGP hijacking) needed

No route manipulation (e.g., BGP hijacking) needed
 => Invisible to control-plane monitors (e.g., BGP collectors)

- No route manipulation (e.g., BGP hijacking) needed
   => Invisible to control-plane monitors (e.g., BGP collectors)
- Only *low rate* data-plane attack traffic (*520 bit/s* or *2 IP/s)* is required

- No route manipulation (e.g., BGP hijacking) needed
   => Invisible to control-plane monitors (e.g., BGP collectors)
- Only *low rate* data-plane attack traffic (*520 bit/s* or *2 IP/s)* is required
- => Difficult to *distinguish* from legitimate traffic

To attack a targeted node, Erebus attacker needs:
 ✓ *millions* shadow IP addresses
 ✓ *several weeks* of attack execution

To attack a targeted node, Erebus attacker needs:
 ✓ *millions* shadow IP addresses
 ✓ *several weeks* of attack execution

• All Tier-1 networks

✓ AT&T, CenturyLink, NTT, ...

✓ Can target *any* Bitcoin node!



To attack a targeted node, Erebus attacker needs:
 ✓ *millions* shadow IP addresses
 ✓ *several weeks* of attack execution

• All Tier-1 networks

✓ AT&T, CenturyLink, NTT, ...✓ Can target *any* Bitcoin node!

• Many *large Tier-2* networks

✓ Singtel, China Telecom, ...

✓ Can target the *majority* of nodes!



To attack a targeted node, Erebus attacker needs:
 ✓ *millions* shadow IP addresses
 ✓ *several weeks* of attack execution

• All Tier-1 networks

✓ AT&T, CenturyLink, NTT, ...

✓ Can target *any* Bitcoin node!

- Many *large Tier-2* networks
  - ✓ Singtel, China Telecom, ...

✓ Can target the *majority* of nodes!

• Nation-state adversaries

✓ Some countries are believed to have direct control over their ISPs



To attack a targeted node, Erebus attacker needs:
 ✓ *millions* shadow IP addresses
 ✓ *several weeks* of attack execution

- All Tier-1 networks
  - ✓ AT&T, CenturyLink, NTT, ...
    ✓ Can target *any* Bitcoin node!
- Many *large Tier-2* networks
   ✓ Singtel, China Telecom, ...
   ✓ Can target the *majority* of nodes!
- Nation-state adversaries

✓ Some countries are believed to have direct control over their ISPs



#### New Report: <u>North Korean</u> Hackers Stole Funds From <u>South Korean</u> Cryptocurrency Exchanges

US cybersecurity firm Recorded Future has released a new report linking Lazarus, a North Korean hacking group, to various South Korean cryptocurrency exchange hacking attacks and security breaches.

189722 Total views 871 Total shares

# What about other cryptocurrencies?

# What about other cryptocurrencies?



• Bitcoin peer-to-peer networking stack is widely replicated

# What about other cryptocurrencies?



- Bitcoin peer-to-peer networking stack is *widely replicated* 
  - ✓ Erebus attack also applies on 34 out of top-100 cryptocurrencies

 The Erebus attack exploits the *topological advantage* of being large ISPs, *not* any specific bugs

 The Erebus attack exploits the topological advantage of being large ISPs, not any specific bugs => Hard to counter against!

- The Erebus attack exploits the topological advantage of being large ISPs, not any specific bugs => Hard to counter against!
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:

- The Erebus attack exploits the *topological advantage* of being large ISPs, *not* any specific bugs => *Hard to counter against!*
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:

✓ Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs

- The Erebus attack exploits the *topological advantage* of being large ISPs, *not* any specific bugs => *Hard to counter against!*
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:

Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs => not permissonless

- The Erebus attack exploits the *topological advantage* of being large ISPs, *not* any specific bugs => *Hard to counter against!*
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:
  - Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs => not permissonless
     Third-party proxies: VPNs, Tor, relay networks

- The Erebus attack exploits the topological advantage of being large ISPs, not any specific bugs => Hard to counter against!
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:
  - Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs => not permissonless
     Third-party proxies: VPNs, Tor, relay networks => not decentralized

- The Erebus attack exploits the topological advantage of being large ISPs, not any specific bugs => Hard to counter against!
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:

Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs => not permissonless
 Third-party proxies: VPNs, Tor, relay networks => not decentralized

• Partial solutions:

- The Erebus attack exploits the topological advantage of being large ISPs, not any specific bugs => Hard to counter against!
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:

Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs => not permissonless
 Third-party proxies: VPNs, Tor, relay networks => not decentralized

- Partial solutions:
  - ✓ Table size *reduction*

- The Erebus attack exploits the topological advantage of being large ISPs, not any specific bugs => Hard to counter against!
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:

Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs => not permissonless
 Third-party proxies: VPNs, Tor, relay networks => not decentralized

- Partial solutions:
  - ✓ Table size *reduction*
  - ✓ More outgoing connections

- The Erebus attack exploits the topological advantage of being large ISPs, not any specific bugs => Hard to counter against!
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:

Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs => not permissonless
 Third-party proxies: VPNs, Tor, relay networks => not decentralized

• Partial solutions:

✓ Table size *reduction* 

✓ More outgoing connections

Deployed in the latest version

- The Erebus attack exploits the topological advantage of being large ISPs, not any specific bugs => Hard to counter against!
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:

Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs => not permissonless
 Third-party proxies: VPNs, Tor, relay networks => not decentralized

• Partial solutions:

✓ Table size *reduction* 

✓ More outgoing connections

Deployed in the latest version

✓ Incorporating **AS topology** in the peer selection

- The Erebus attack exploits the topological advantage of being large ISPs, not any specific bugs => Hard to counter against!
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:

Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs => not permissonless
 Third-party proxies: VPNs, Tor, relay networks => not decentralized

- Partial solutions:
  - ✓ Table size *reduction*
  - ✓ More outgoing connections

✓ Incorporating AS topology in the peer selection

Deployed in the latest version

Being tested

- The Erebus attack exploits the topological advantage of being large ISPs, not any specific bugs => Hard to counter against!
- *Trivial* (yet *less practical*) solutions:

Trusted authority: Whitelist/Blacklist of IPs => not permissonless
 Third-party proxies: VPNs, Tor, relay networks => not decentralized

- Partial solutions:
  - ✓ Table size *reduction*
  - ✓ More outgoing connections
  - ✓ Incorporating AS topology in the peer selection
  - ✓ Protecting peers providing *fresher* block data

Deployed in the latest version



 The Erebus attack exploits the *topological advantage* of being large ISPs, *not* any specific bugs => *Hard to counter against!*

#### **Partial** solutions are available.

• Partial solutions:

✓ Table size *reduction* 

- ✓ More outgoing connections
- ✓ Incorporating AS topology in the peer selection
- ✓ Protecting peers providing *fresher* block data

Deployed in the latest version

Being tested Being tested
### **Countermeasures** against the Erebus attack

 The Erebus attack exploits the *topological advantage* of being large ISPs, *not* any specific bugs => *Hard to counter against!*

#### Partial solutions are available.

Carefully evaluations are needed before deployment.

#### • Partial solutions:

✓ Table size *reduction* 

- ✓ More outgoing connections
- ✓ Incorporating *AS topology* in the peer selection
- ✓ Protecting peers providing *fresher* block data

Deployed in the latest version

Being tested Being tested





• Erebus attack can isolate Bitcoin nodes in a *stealthy* manner



• Erebus attack can isolate Bitcoin nodes in a *stealthy* manner

Low rate attack traffic (520 bit/s per node)

- ✓ Patiently waiting for *a few weeks*
- ✓ Large ISPs can launch this attack against latest Bitcoin Core



• Erebus attack can isolate Bitcoin nodes in a *stealthy* manner

✓ Low rate attack traffic (520 bit/s per node)

✓ Patiently waiting for *a few weeks* 

✓ Large ISPs can launch this attack against latest Bitcoin Core

• Mitigating the Erebus attack is *hard* 



• Erebus attack can isolate Bitcoin nodes in a *stealthy* manner

✓ Low rate attack traffic (520 bit/s per node)

✓ Patiently waiting for *a few weeks* 

✓ Large ISPs can launch this attack against latest Bitcoin Core

• Mitigating the Erebus attack is *hard* 

✓ No software bugs was exploited

✓ Attackers only exploit the *topological advantages* of being ISPs



• Erebus attack can isolate Bitcoin nodes in a *stealthy* manner

✓ Low rate attack traffic (520 bit/s per node)

✓ Patiently waiting for *a few weeks* 

✓ Large ISPs can launch this attack against latest Bitcoin Core

• Mitigating the Erebus attack is *hard* 

✓ No software bugs was exploited

✓ Attackers only exploit the *topological advantages* of being ISPs

• Updates on countermeasures: <u>https://erebus-attack.comp.nus.edu.sg/</u>

#### https://erebus-attack.comp.nus.edu.sg/



#### Muoi Tran muoitran@comp.nus.edu.sg



